	Case 1:24-cv-00634-KES-SAB Docur	ment 26	Filed 11/22/24	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	BMO BANK N.A.,	Case N	o. 1:24-cv-00634	-KES-SAB	
12	Plaintiff,		R ADOPTING FII		
13	V.	PLAIN'	RECOMMENDATIONS AND GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND DIRECTING CLERK OF THE COURT TO CLOSE THIS MATTER		
14	RAJINDER SINGH CHEEMA,				
15	Defendant.	Docs. 1	6, 24		
16		_			
17	On May 29, 2024, Plaintiff BMO Bank filed this action against Defendant Cheema, Fresno				
18	Truck Tire & Service, Inc. ("Fresno Truck"), and Amarjit Singh pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).				
19	Doc. 1. On July 10, 2024, Plaintiff filed a notice of voluntary dismissal as to defendants Fresno				
20	Truck and Amarjit Singh, and they were terminated from this action. Docs. 7, 8. On July 26,				
21	2024, Plaintiff filed a request for entry of default against Defendant Cheema and default was				
22	entered. Docs. 13, 14. On August 23, 2024, Plaintiff filed a motion for default judgment as to				
23	defendant Cheema. Docs. 16–19.				
24	Plaintiff seeks to hold Defendant Cheema liable for breach of contract regarding a loan				
25	agreement for a Peterbilt tractor. See generally Doc. 1. On October 1, 2024, the assigned				
26	magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that Plaintiff's motion for				
27	default judgment be granted. Doc. 24. The magistrate judge found the Court has diversity				

## Case 1:24-cv-00634-KES-SAB Document 26 Filed 11/22/24 Page 2 of 3

diverse and the amount in controversy is more than \$75,000.00. *Id.* at 5. The magistrate judge also determined that the Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Cheema through substitute service, finding that Plaintiff demonstrated compliance with the service requirements under Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Id.* at 7.

The magistrate judge assessed the *Eitel* factors identified by the Ninth Circuit, concluding that they weighed in favor of default judgment, *id.* at 7–10, and recommending an award of contractual damages in the amount of \$93,803.63, *id.* at 11–12. The magistrate judge also found Plaintiff was entitled to attorney's fees, with a slight reduction in the requested fee, and costs. *Id.* at 12–13. The magistrate judge determined that Plaintiff's counsel's hourly rate was reasonable and that the time expended in litigating the action was also reasonable. *Id.* at 14–15. However, the magistrate judge declined to reimburse the 1.5 hours Plaintiff's counsel allocated to appear at the hearing on the motion because the hearing was vacated and those hours were not used. *Id.* at 15; *see also* docket. Accordingly, the magistrate judge recommended awarding modified attorney's fees in the amount of \$5,752.50. *Id.* Last, the magistrate judge recommended awarding costs in the amount of \$662.28, which consist of the filing fee and expenses for service of process on all the defendants. *Id.* The magistrate judge determined that the costs of serving process on the other defendants, who were previously terminated from the action, were reasonable legal expenses related to Plaintiff's attempts to repossess the tractor and thus properly reimbursable according to the terms of the loan agreement. *Id.* at 15, n.6.

The findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days from the date of service. *Id.* No objections have been filed and the time to do so has passed.<sup>1</sup>

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

26 ///

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff filed a non-opposition to the findings and recommendations on October 21, 2024. Doc. 25.

## Case 1:24-cv-00634-KES-SAB Document 26 Filed 11/22/24 Page 3 of 3

## Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 1, 2024, Doc. 24, are ADOPTED IN FULL;
- 2. Plaintiff BMO Harris Bank N.A.'s motion for default judgment, Doc. 16, is GRANTED.
- 3. Default judgment is ENTERED in favor of Plaintiff BMO Harris Bank N.A. and against Defendant Cheema in the amount of \$100,218.41, which consists of:

a)	Principal:	\$80,283.90

- b) Interest and late fees: \$11,142.73
- c) Repossession Fees: \$2,377.00
- d) Attorneys' Fees: \$5,752.50
- e) Legal Costs: \$662.28
- 4. Upon sale of the identified vehicle in a commercially reasonable manner, the money judgment entered herein shall be credited with the net sales proceeds.
- 5. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close this matter.

T IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 21, 2024
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE